

ON THE EXISTENCE OF GENERAL FACTORS IN REGULAR GRAPHS*

HONGLIANG LU[†], DAVID G. L. WANG[‡], AND QINGLIN YU[§]

Abstract. Let G be a graph and $H: V(G) \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ a set function associated with G . A spanning subgraph F of G is called an H -factor if the degree of any vertex v in F belongs to the set $H(v)$. This paper contains two results on the existence of H -factors in regular graphs. First, we construct an r -regular graph without some given H^* -factor. In particular, this gives a negative answer to a problem recently posed by Akbari and Kano. Second, by using Lovász's characterization theorem on the existence of (g, f) -factors, we find a sharp condition for the existence of general H -factors in $\{r, r + 1\}$ -graphs in terms of the maximum and minimum of H . This result reduces to Thomassen's theorem for the case that $H(v)$ consists of the same two consecutive integers for all vertices v and to Tutte's theorem if the graph is regular in addition.

Key words. H -factor, $\{k, r - k\}$ -factor, regular graph, Tutte's theorem

AMS subject classification. 05C75

DOI. 10.1137/120895792

1. Introduction. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple graph, where $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ denote the set of vertices and edges of G , respectively. For any vertex v , denote the degree of v by $d_G(v)$. Let $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ denote the collection of sets of nonnegative integers. We call

$$H: V(G) \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$$

a *set function associated with G* if $H(v) \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, d_G(v)\}$. A spanning subgraph F of G is called an H -factor if $d_F(v) \in H(v)$ for all v . It is often assumed that $H(v)$ coincides with some set H' for all v . In this case, we call H' a *set associated with G* and call F an H' -factor without confusion. Let

$$g, f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

be two functions such that $g(v) \leq f(v)$ for all v . An H -factor is called a (g, f) -factor if $H(v)$ is the interval $[g(v), f(v)]$ for all v . A (g, f) -factor is called an $[a, b]$ -factor if $g(v) = a$ and $f(v) = b$ for all v . An $[a, b]$ -factor F is called an $[a, b]$ -parity-factor if

$$d_F(v) \equiv a \equiv b \pmod{2} \quad \text{for every vertex } v.$$

In particular, F is called a k -factor if $a = b = k$.

*Received by the editors October 19, 2012; accepted for publication (in revised form) September 12, 2013; published electronically October 28, 2013.

<http://www.siam.org/journals/sidma/27-4/89579.html>

[†]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710049, People's Republic of China (luhongliang@mail.xjtu.edu.cn). This author's research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11101329) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of Haifa, 3498838 Haifa, Israel. The first draft of this paper was done when this author was a postdoc in Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research (wgl@math.pku.edu.cn). His research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11101010).

[§]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Thompson Rivers University, Kamloops, BC, Canada (yu@tru.ca).

A graph is said to be *r-regular* if every vertex has degree *r*. This paper is concerned with the existence of *H*-factors in regular graphs. The study on the existence of factors in regular graphs was started by Petersen [9].

THEOREM 1.1 (Petersen). *Let r and k be even integers such that $1 \leq k \leq r$. Then any r -regular graph has a k -factor.*

In contrast with even factors in Theorem 1.1, Gallai [6] obtained the next result for odd factors. For any graph *G*, we call the number $|V(G)|$ of vertices the *order* of *G*, denoted alternatively by $|G|$ as usual.

THEOREM 1.2 (Gallai). *Let r, k , and m be integers such that r is even, k is odd, and*

$$\frac{r}{m} \leq k \leq r \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right).$$

Then any m -edge-connected r -regular graph of even order has a k -factor.

It is clear that having an odd factor implies that the order of the graph must be even. So the even order condition in Theorem 1.2 is not a real restriction. Removing the parity conditions for both *r* and *k*, Tutte [12] gave the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.3 (Tutte). *Let $1 \leq k \leq r - 1$. Then any r -regular graph has a $\{k, k + 1\}$ -factor.*

A graph *G* is said to be an $\{r, r + 1\}$ -graph if every vertex of *G* has degree *r* or *r* + 1. Thomassen [11] generalized Theorem 1.3 by considering $\{r, r + 1\}$ -graphs.

THEOREM 1.4 (Thomassen). *Let $1 \leq k \leq r - 1$. Then any $\{r, r + 1\}$ -graph has a $\{k, k + 1\}$ -factor.*

For more results along this line, the reader is referred to Akiyama and Kano's book [3]. Recently, Akbari and Kano [2] considered the existence of $\{k, r - k\}$ -factors in *r*-regular graphs.

THEOREM 1.5 (Akbari–Kano). *Let r and k be integers such that r is odd, k is even, and $1 \leq k < r/2$. Then any r -regular graph has a $\{k, r - k\}$ -factor.*

Naturally, Akbari and Kano asked whether there exists a $\{k, r - k\}$ -factor in any *r*-regular graph for other possible parities of *r* and *k*. One may suppose that $1 \leq k \leq r/2$. The existence problem for even integer *k* is done by Theorems 1.1 and 1.5. For odd *k*, they posed a conjecture for odd *r* and the following problem when *r* is even.

PROBLEM 1.6 (Akbari–Kano). *Let r and k be integers such that r is even, k is odd, and $1 \leq k \leq r/2 - 1$. Is it true that every connected r -regular simple graph of even order has a $\{k, r - k\}$ -factor?*

Again, the even order condition is not a real restriction. On the other hand, any *r*-regular graph of even order has an $r/2$ -factor. This can be seen immediately from Theorem 1.2 if one notices that any even-regular graph is 2-edge-connected. From this point of view, the condition $1 \leq k \leq r/2 - 1$ is not a real restriction.

The first aim of this paper is to give a negative answer to Problem 1.6. In section 2, we construct an *r*-regular graph G^* without $\{k, r - k\}$ -factors for all $1 \leq k \leq r/2 - 2$ and deal with the case $k = r/2 - 1$ by using the following Lovász's characterization [8] on parity factors; see also [3, Theorem 6.1]. For any two subsets *S* and *T* of $V(G)$, denote by $E_G(S, T)$ the set of edges with one end in *S* and the other end in *T*. Denote

$$e_G(S, T) = |E_G(S, T)|.$$

THEOREM 1.7 (Lovász). *Let G be a graph, and let $g, f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be functions such that $g(v) \leq f(v)$ and $g(v) \equiv f(v) \pmod{2}$ for all vertices v . Then G has a*

(g, f) -parity factor if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad \eta(S, T) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) + \sum_{t \in T} (d_G(t) - g(t)) - e_G(S, T) - q(S, T) \geq 0$$

for all disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$, where $q(S, T)$ denotes the number of components C of the subgraph $G - S - T$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{c \in V(C)} f(c) + e_G(V(C), T) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

In fact, Lovász [8] presented a structural description for the degree constrained subgraph problem for the case that no two consecutive integers are missed in $H(v)$ for every v . He also showed that the problem without this restriction is NP-complete. In particular, the next theorem, which is due to Lovász [7] (see also [3, Theorem 4.1]), will be used in our deduction.

THEOREM 1.8 (Lovász). *Let G be a graph, and let $g, f: V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be functions such that $g(v) \leq f(v)$ for all vertices v . Then G has a (g, f) -factor if and only if*

$$\gamma(S, T) = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) + \sum_{t \in T} (d_G(t) - g(t)) - e_G(S, T) - q^*(S, T) \geq 0$$

for all disjoint subsets S and T of $V(G)$, where $q^*(S, T)$ denotes the number of components C of the subgraph $G - S - T$ satisfying (1.2), and $g(v) = f(v)$ for all $v \in V(C)$.

By using Alon’s combinatorial nullstellensatz [4], Shirazi and Verstraëte [10] established the following brief result for general H -factors, which was originally posed by Addario-Berry et al. [1] as a conjecture.

THEOREM 1.9 (Shirazi–Verstraëte). *Let G be a graph with an associated set function H . If*

$$(1.3) \quad |H(v)| > \left\lceil \frac{d_G(v)}{2} \right\rceil \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G),$$

then G has an H -factor.

Frank, Lau, and Szabó [5] found an elementary proof for Theorem 1.9 by using the next result on directed graphs. For any directed graph G , denote by $d_G^-(v)$ the in-degree of v .

THEOREM 1.10 (Frank–Lau–Szabó). *Let G be a graph with an associated set function H . If G has an orientation for which*

$$(1.4) \quad d_G^-(v) \geq |\{0, 1, \dots, d_G(v)\} \setminus H(v)| \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G),$$

then G has an H -factor.

It seems that the existence of H -factors in regular graphs has not been extensively investigated yet. Let G be a graph and H a set function associated with G . Denote

$$mH = \min_{v \in G} \min H(v),$$

$$MH = \max_{v \in G} \max H(v).$$

Here is the second result of this paper.

THEOREM 1.11. *Let G be an $\{r, r + 1\}$ -graph with an associated set function H . If $mH \geq 1$, $MH \leq r$, and*

$$(1.5) \quad |H(v)| \geq \frac{MH - mH + 3}{2} \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G),$$

then G has an H -factor.

The proof of Theorem 1.11 will be given in section 3. As will be seen, the condition (1.5) is sharp. For the case

$$H(v) = \{k, k + 1\} \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G),$$

where $1 \leq k \leq r - 1$, Theorem 1.11 reduces to Theorem 1.4. Moreover, as a result restricting to $\{r, r + 1\}$ -graphs, Theorem 1.11 is stronger than Theorem 1.9 because the condition (1.3) implies (1.5) for $\{r, r + 1\}$ -graphs.

2. Answer to the Akbari–Kano problem. This section is concerned with Problem 1.6. Note that $1 \leq k \leq r/2 - 1$. The following theorem deals with the case $k \leq r/2 - 2$. For any vertex v in any graph G , denote by $N_G(v)$ the neighborhood of v in G .

THEOREM 2.1. *For any even integer r , there exists an r -regular graph G^* of even order such that G^* has no H^* -factors where*

$$H^* = \{j \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq j \leq r, j \text{ is odd}\} \setminus \left\{ \frac{r}{2} - 1, \frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2} + 1 \right\}.$$

In particular, G^* has no $\{k, r - k\}$ -factors for any odd integer k such that $1 \leq k \leq r/2 - 2$.

Proof. Let J be the graph obtained by removing an edge from the complete graph K_{r+1} . Let J_1, J_2, \dots, J_r be pairwise disjoint copies of J . In each copy J_i , let a_i and b_i be the ends of the edge that is removed from K_{r+1} . Let G^* be the graph consisting of the copies J_1, J_2, \dots, J_r , together with two new vertices u and v such that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} N_{G^*}(u) &= \{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_{\frac{r}{2}-1}, b_{\frac{r}{2}-1}, a_{r-1}, a_r\}, \\ N_{G^*}(v) &= \{a_{\frac{r}{2}}, b_{\frac{r}{2}}, a_{\frac{r}{2}+1}, b_{\frac{r}{2}+1}, \dots, a_{r-2}, b_{r-2}, b_{r-1}, b_r\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then G^* is an r -regular graph of the even order $r(r + 1) + 2$.

Now we shall show that G^* has no H^* -factors. Suppose to the contrary that F is an H^* -factor of G^* . Let $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since $d_F(w)$ is odd for all $w \in J_i$, and the order $|J_i|$ is odd, we find

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{w \in J_i} d_F(w) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Let F_i be the subgraph of F induced by the vertices in J_i . By the handshaking theorem, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{w \in J_i} d_{F_i}(w) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Taking the difference between (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain

$$e_F(J_i, \{u, v\}) = \sum_{w \in J_i} (d_F(w) - d_{F_i}(w)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Since $e_{G^*}(J_i, u) = 2$ and $e_{G^*}(J_i, v) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq r/2 - 1$, we derive

$$e_F(J_i, u) = 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{r}{2} - 1.$$

By the definition (2.1) of $N_{G^*}(u)$, we get

$$d_F(u) \in \left\{ \frac{r}{2} - 1, \frac{r}{2}, \frac{r}{2} + 1 \right\},$$

contradicting the definition of H^* . This completes the proof. \square

The graph G^* constructed above will be used to explain the sharpness of the condition (1.5) in the next section. Now we cope with the case $k = r/2 - 1$.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let r be an even integer such that $r/2$ is even. Then any connected r -regular graph of even order has an $\{r/2 - 1, r/2 + 1\}$ -factor.*

Proof. We shall apply Theorem 1.7 by setting $g(v) = r/2 - 1$ and $f(v) = r/2 + 1$ for all vertices v . Let G be a connected r -regular graph of even order. Let S and T be disjoint subsets of $V(G)$. First, we claim that

$$(2.4) \quad e_G(S \cup T, V(G) - S - T) \geq 2q(S, T).$$

In fact, if $S \cup T \in \{\emptyset, G\}$, then $q(S, T) = 0$, and (2.4) follows immediately. Otherwise, let C be a component of $G - S - T$. Then both $S \cup T$ and C are nonempty. Note that any even-regular graph is 2-edge-connected. So G is 2-edge-connected. In particular, we have

$$e_G(S \cup T, C) \geq 2.$$

Summing the above inequality over all components C , we get the desired inequality (2.4). Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(S, T) &= \left(\frac{r}{2} + 1\right) (|S| + |T|) - e_G(S, T) - q(S, T) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in S \cup T} d_G(x) - e_G(S, T) - \frac{1}{2} e_G(S \cup T, V(G) - S - T) \\ &= e_G(S, S) + e_G(T, T) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.7, G has an $\{r/2 - 1, r/2 + 1\}$ -factor. \square

Combining Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain a negative answer to Problem 1.6.

3. The existence of H -factors in regular graphs. This section is devoted to establishing Theorem 1.11. A subset U of $V(G)$ is called *independent* if any two vertices in U are not adjacent in G . We need the following lemma to prove Theorem 1.11.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let r and k be positive integers such that $1 \leq k \leq r - 1$. Let G be an $\{r, r + 1\}$ -graph and*

$$U = \{v \in V(G) \mid d_G(v) = r + 1\}.$$

If U is independent, then G has a $\{k, k + 1\}$ -factor F such that

$$d_F(u) = k + 1 \quad \text{as if } u \in U.$$

Proof. Let $f(v) = k + 1$ for all vertices v , and

$$g(v) = \begin{cases} k + 1 & \text{if } v \in U, \\ k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It suffices to show that G has a (g, f) -factor. Suppose to the contrary that G has no (g, f) -factors. By Theorem 1.8, we have

$$\gamma(S, T) < 0 \quad \text{for some } S, T \subseteq V(G).$$

Let S and T be disjoint subsets of $V(G)$ such that $\gamma(S, T) < 0$ and the set $S \cup T$ is maximal. We claim that $q^*(S, T) = 0$.

Suppose to the contrary that $q^*(S, T) \geq 1$. Let C be a component of $G - S - T$ counted by $q^*(S, T)$. By the definition of $q^*(S, T)$ and the assumption that U is independent, we deduce that C is a single vertex, say, $V(C) = \{a\}$. Let $S' = S \cup \{a\}$ and $T' = T \cup \{a\}$. Then

$$(3.1) \quad q^*(S', T) = q^*(S, T) - 1,$$

$$(3.2) \quad q^*(S, T') = q^*(S, T) - 1.$$

Note that the condition (1.2) implies $e_G(a, T) \neq k + 1$. If $e_G(a, T) \leq k$, then

$$d_G(a) - e_G(a, S) = e_G(a, T) \leq g(a) - 1.$$

Together with (3.2), we have

$$\gamma(S, T') - \gamma(S, T) = d_G(a) - g(a) - e_G(S, a) - q^*(S, T') + q^*(S, T) \leq 0.$$

So $\gamma(S, T') < 0$, contradicting the maximality of $S \cup T$. Otherwise $e_G(a, T) \geq k + 2$. By (3.1), we deduce

$$\gamma(S', T) - \gamma(S, T) = f(a) - e_G(a, T) - q^*(S', T) + q^*(S, T) \leq 0.$$

So $\gamma(S', T) < 0$, contradicting, again, the maximality of $S \cup T$. Thus the claim is true.

Now we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(S, T) &= \sum_{s \in S} d_G(s) \frac{f(s)}{d_G(s)} + \sum_{t \in T} d_G(t) \left(1 - \frac{g(t)}{d_G(t)} \right) - e_G(S, T) \\ &\geq \sum_{st \in E_G(S, T)} \left(\frac{f(s)}{d_G(s)} + \left(1 - \frac{g(t)}{d_G(t)} \right) \right) - e_G(S, T) \\ &= \sum_{st \in E_G(S, T)} \left(\frac{k + 1}{d_G(s)} - \frac{g(t)}{d_G(t)} \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{st \in E_G(S, T)} \left(\frac{k + 1}{r + 1} - \max \left(\frac{k}{r}, \frac{k + 1}{r + 1} \right) \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the hypothesis $\gamma(S, T) < 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.1 can be regarded as a generalization of Theorem 1.3. Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1.11.

Proof. Write $m = mH$ and $M = MH$ for short. By Theorem 1.4, we can suppose that F is an $\{M, M + 1\}$ -factor of G with the minimum number of edges. It follows that any two vertices of degree $M + 1$ in F are not adjacent. By Lemma 3.1, F has an $\{m - 1, m\}$ -factor, say, F' , such that

$$(3.3) \quad d_{F'}(v) = m \quad \text{as if} \quad d_F(v) = M + 1.$$

Let F'' be the complemented graph of F' in F . In view of (3.3), we have

$$(3.4) \quad d_{F''}(v) \in \{M - m, M - m + 1\} \quad \text{for all } v.$$

We observe that F'' has an orientation such that

$$(3.5) \quad d_{F''}^-(v) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{d_{F''}(v)}{2} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for all } v.$$

This can be seen by orienting an Eulerian tour of the graph obtained from F'' by adding a new vertex and joining it to all vertices of odd degree in F'' . Let

$$H'(v) = \{h - d_{F'}(v) \mid h \in H(v)\} \quad \text{for all } v.$$

Then the condition (1.5) reads

$$(3.6) \quad |H'(v)| = |H(v)| \geq \frac{M - m + 3}{2}.$$

By (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6), it is easy to verify that

$$|\{0, 1, \dots, d_{F''}(v)\} \setminus H'(v)| \leq d_{F''}^-(v) \quad \text{for all } v.$$

By Theorem 1.10, the graph F'' has an H' -factor, say, Q . Hence, the subgraph induced by the edge set $E(F') \cup E(Q)$ is an H -factor of G . This completes the proof. \square

In fact, the condition (1.5) is sharp. For instance, when r is even, let G^* be the graph constructed in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Consider a set H of the form

$$H = \{m, m + 2, m + 4, \dots, M\},$$

where both m and M are odd, and $M \leq r/2 - 2$. On one hand, G^* has no H -factors by Theorem 2.1. On the other hand, it is straightforward to compute

$$|H| = \frac{M - m + 2}{2}.$$

Comparing it with the condition (1.5), we deduce the latter one is sharp. For other possibilities of the associated set H , for example, $mH + MH$ is odd, we mention that it is also not hard to find r -regular graphs without H -factors such that

$$|H(v)| = \left\lfloor \frac{MH - mH + 2}{2} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G).$$

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to Mikio Kano for sharing the $\{k, r - k\}$ -factor problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. ADDARIO-BERRY, K. DALAL, C. MCDIARMID, B. A. REED, AND A. THOMASON, *Vertex-colouring edge-weightings*, *Combinatorica*, 27 (2007), pp. 1–12.
- [2] S. AKBARI AND M. KANO, $\{k, r - k\}$ -factors of r -regular graphs, *Graphs Combin.*, 2013, DOI: 10.1007/s00373-013-1324-x.
- [3] J. AKIYAMA AND M. KANO, *Factors and Factorizations of Graphs: Proof Techniques in Factor Theory*, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 2031, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [4] N. ALON, *Combinatorial Nullstellensatz*, *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 8 (1999), pp. 7–29.
- [5] A. FRANK, L. C. LAU, AND J. SZABÓ, *A note on degree-constrained subgraphs*, *Discrete Math.*, 308 (2008), pp. 2647–2648.
- [6] T. GALLAI, *On factorisation of graphs*, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 1 (1950), pp. 133–153.
- [7] L. LOVÁSZ, *Subgraphs with prescribed valencies*, *J. Combin. Theory*, 8 (1970), pp. 391–416.
- [8] L. LOVÁSZ, *The factorization of graphs. II*, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 23 (1972), pp. 223–246.
- [9] J. PETERSEN, *Die Theorie der regulären graphs*, *Acta Math.*, 15 (1891), pp. 193–220.
- [10] H. SHIRAZI AND J. VERSTRAËTE, *A note on polynomials and f -factors of graphs*, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 15 (2008), p. N22.
- [11] C. THOMASSEN, *A remark on the factor theorems of Lovász and Tutte*, *J. Graph Theory*, 5 (1981), pp. 441–442.
- [12] W. T. TUTTE, *The subgraph problem*, *Ann. Discrete Math.*, 3 (1978), pp. 289–295.