



Available at

www.ElsevierMathematics.com

POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Discrete Applied Mathematics 136 (2004) 55–61

DISCRETE
APPLIED
MATHEMATICS

www.elsevier.com/locate/dam

Connectivity of k -extendable graphs with large k

Dingjun Lou^a, Qinglin Yu^b

^aDepartment of Computer Science, Zhongshan University, Guangzhou 510275,
People's Republic of China

^bDepartment of Mathematics and Statistics, University College of the Cariboo, Kamloops, B.C., Canada

Received 18 July 2000; received in revised form 16 May 2001; accepted 19 December 2001

Abstract

Let G be a simple connected graph on $2n$ vertices with perfect matching. For a given positive integer k ($0 \leq k \leq n - 1$), G is k -extendable if any matching of size k in G is contained in a perfect matching of G . It is proved that if G is a k -extendable graph on $2n$ vertices with $k \geq n/2$, then either G is bipartite or the connectivity of G is at least $2k$. As a corollary, we show that if G is a maximal k -extendable graph on $2n$ vertices with $n + 2 \leq 2k + 1$, then G is $K_{n,n}$ if $k + 1 \leq \delta \leq n$ and G is K_{2n} if $2k + 1 \leq \delta \leq 2n - 1$. Moreover, if G is a minimal k -extendable graph on $2n$ vertices with $n + 1 \leq 2k + 1$ and $k + 1 \leq \delta \leq n$ then the minimum degree of G is $k + 1$. We also discuss the relationship between the k -extendable graphs and the Hamiltonian graphs.

© 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: k -Extendable graph; Minimal k -extendable graph; Maximal k -extendable graph; Minimum degree; Hamiltonian graph

1. Introduction and terminology

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. For the terminology and notation not defined in this paper, the reader is referred to [4].

Let G and H be two graphs. Let kH denote k disjoint copies of H and $G + H$ denote the union of G and H with each vertex of G joining to every vertex of H .

A graph G is said to be *factor-critical* if $G - v$ has a perfect matching for each $v \in V(G)$. Let G be a graph with a perfect matching. Then G is said to be k -extendable for $0 \leq k \leq (v - 2)/2$ if any matching in G of size k is contained in a perfect matching of G . And G is said to be *maximal k -extendable* if G is k -extendable and for each

E-mail address: issldj@zsu.edu.cn (D. Lou).

$e \in E(\bar{G})$, where \bar{G} is the complement of G , $G \cup \{e\}$ is not k -extendable. And G is said to be *minimal k -extendable* if G is k -extendable and for each $e \in E(G)$, $G - e$ is not k -extendable.

The concept of k -extendable graphs was introduced by Plummer [7] in 1980. Since then, extensive researches on this topic have been done (see [1,2,6–10]). In [2], Ananchuen and Caccetta proved the following result about the minimum degree of k -extendable graphs.

Lemma 1 (Ananchuen and Caccetta [2]). *Suppose $1 \leq k \leq (v-2)/2$ and $|V(G)| = v$. Then if G is k -extendable, then either $k+1 \leq \delta \leq v/2$ or $2k+1 \leq \delta \leq v-1$.*

For each value of δ given in Lemma 1, there exist k -extendable graphs with the minimum degree δ . However, the problem that which value in these ranges is attainable for maximal k -extendable graphs remains open. Plummer [9] proposed the following problem.

Problem 1. *Suppose $1 \leq k \leq (v-2)/2$ and $k+1 \leq j \leq v/2$ or $2k+1 \leq j \leq v-1$. Then which k -extendable graphs having minimum degree j are maximal k -extendable?*

Motivated by this problem, we study the k -extendable graphs with $k \geq v/4$, that is $v/2 + 1 \leq 2k + 1$, which means the two intervals for δ in Lemma 1 are separated. We prove that if G is a k -extendable graph with $k \geq v/4$, then either G is bipartite or $\kappa(G) \geq 2k$. As corollaries, we characterize the maximal k -extendable graphs with $v/2 + 2 \leq 2k + 1$ and we show that the minimum degree of a minimal k -extendable graph with $v/2 + 1 \leq 2k + 1$ and with $k + 1 \leq \delta \leq v/2$ is $k + 1$. Also we prove that a k -extendable graph with $k \geq v/4$ is Hamiltonian, which shows the relation between k -extendable graphs and Hamiltonian graphs.

2. Main result

We start this section with a few basic lemmas on k -extendable graphs.

Lemma 2 (Yu [10]). *A graph G is k -extendable if and only if for any matching M of size r in G ($1 \leq r \leq k$), $G - V(M)$ is $(k-r)$ -extendable.*

Lemma 3 (Yu [10]). *Let G be a connected k -extendable non-bipartite graph. Then for each edge $e \in E(\bar{G})$, $G + e$ is $(k-1)$ -extendable.*

Lemma 4 (Plummer [7]). *If G is k -extendable, then $\kappa(G) \geq k + 1$.*

Lemma 5. *Let G be a graph and $S \subseteq V(G)$. If the size of a maximum matching of $G - S$ is m , then the size of a maximum matching of G is at most $m + |S|$.*

Proof. Obvious. \square

We need the following lemma to prove our main result, this lemma itself may serve as a useful tool in other research on matching theory.

Lemma 6. *Let G be a graph with order $v = 2r + m$. If G has a matching of size r and deleting any vertex from G , the resulting graph still has a matching of size r , then G has a matching of size $r + 1$ unless G has exactly m odd components and no even components and each odd component is factor-critical.*

Proof. Suppose that the maximum matchings of G have size r . Then by Berge’s formula on maximum matching, there exists a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $o(G - S) - |S| = m$. If $S \neq \emptyset$, let $v \in S$, $G' = G - v$ and $S' = S \setminus \{v\}$. Then $o(G' - S') - |S'| = o(G - S) - |S| + 1 = m + 1$. So the maximum matching in G' has size at most $(|V(G')| - (o(G' - S') - |S'|))/2 = (2r + m - 1 - (m + 1))/2 = r - 1$, contradicting to the hypothesis that deleting any vertex from G the resulting graph still has a matching of size r . So $S = \emptyset$ and G has exactly m odd components. If G has an even component C , deleting a vertex v from C , $G - v$ has a maximum matching of size less than r since there is a vertex in each of the $m + 1$ odd components which is not covered by the maximum matching and also v is not covered by the maximum matching. Hence, G has no even component. But deleting any vertex v from each odd component C of G , $C - v$ must have a perfect matching, otherwise by counting the number of vertices of G , $G - v$ has no matching of size r . So each component of G is factor-critical. \square

Now we give the proof of our main result.

Theorem 7. *If G is a k -extendable graph on v vertices with $k \geq v/4$, then either G is bipartite or $\kappa(G) \geq 2k$.*

Proof. By contradiction. Suppose that G is a connected k -extendable graph with connectivity at most $2k - 1$ but not bipartite. Let S be a minimum cutset of G and let M be a maximum matching in $G[S]$. Let $T = S \setminus V(M)$ and $r = |M|$. Since $|S| \leq 2k - 1$, $|M| \leq k - 1$. By Lemmas 2 and 4, $G - V(M)$ is $(k - r + 1)$ -connected. Then we have

$$|T| \geq k - r + 1 \geq 2 \tag{1}$$

and we have $2k - 1 \geq 2r + |T| \geq k + r + 1$, so

$$r \leq k - 2. \tag{2}$$

Claim 1. *For every perfect matching F containing M , $F \cap E(G - S)$ is a maximum matching in $G - S$ and $|F \cap E(G - S)| \leq k - 1$.*

Since T is an independent set of G , by (1) and assumption that $|V(G)| \leq 4k$,

$$\begin{aligned} |F \cap E(G - S)| &= (|V(G)| - 2|M| - 2|T|)/2 \\ &= |V(G)|/2 - r - |T| \leq 2k - (k + 1) = k - 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $F \cap E(G - S)$ is not a maximum matching in $G - S$, then there is a matching F_1 in $G - S$ such that $|F_1| = |F \cap E(G - S)| + 1 \leq k$. But by Lemma 5, the size of a maximum matching in $G - V(F_1)$ is at most

$$|V(G - S - V(F_1))| + |M| \leq |V(G)|/2 - |F_1| - 1,$$

hence $G - V(F_1)$ does not have perfect matching, this contradicts the k -extendability of G . The proof of Claim 1 is complete. \square

By Claim 1 and the fact that T is an independent set of G , we easily prove the following claim.

Claim 2. *The size of every maximum matching in $G - S$ is $|V(G)|/2 - |M| - |T|$.*

By (1), there are two distinct vertices x and y in T . By Lemma 3, the graph $H = G + xy$ is $(k - 1)$ -extendable. By (2), $M_1 = M \cup \{xy\}$ is a matching in H which has size at most $k - 1$. Then $H - V(M_1)$ has a perfect matching M^* and M^* matches each vertex of $T \setminus \{x, y\}$ to a vertex in $V(G - S)$. Hence, $M^* \cap E(G - S)$ is a matching of size $|V(G)|/2 - |M| - |T| + 1$ in $G - S$. This contradicts Claim 2. The proof of Theorem 7 is complete. \square

Remark 1. The lower bound on connectivity in Theorem 7 is best possible. Let $H_1 = K_{2k}$, $H_2 = K_r$ and $H_3 = K_s$ with $4 \leq r + s \leq 2k - 2$ and both r and s being positive even integers. Then $G = H_1 + (H_2 \cup H_3)$ is k -extendable but with $\kappa(G) = 2k$. Also the lower bound on k in Theorem 7 is best possible. The hypothesis $k \geq v/4$ is equivalent to $v \leq 4k$. Let $H_1 = \bar{K}_{k+1}$, $H_2 = K_{k+1}$ and $H_3 = K_{2k}$, where \bar{K}_{k+1} is the complement of K_{k+1} . Then $G = H_1 + (H_2 \cup H_3)$ is a k -extendable graph with $v = 4k + 2$ that is not bipartite but has connectivity $k + 1$.

3. Maximal k -extendable graphs with large k

In this section, we characterize all maximal k -extendable graphs with $v/2 + 2 \leq 2k + 1$. Then we show some maximal k -extendable graphs with $2k + 1 \leq v/2 + 1$ and with $\delta \geq v/2$. Our results partially answer Problem 1.

Lemma 8 (Ananchuen and Caccetta [1]). *If $G \neq K_v$ is a maximal k -extendable graph on v vertices, then*

- (a) *if $v/2 < 2k$, then $\delta \leq v/2$, while*
- (b) *if $v/2 \geq 2k$, then $\delta \leq v/2 + 2\lfloor(k - 1)/2\rfloor$.*

Lemma 9 (Plummer [8] and Yu [10]). *If $G = (X, Y) \neq K_{n,n}$ is a connected k -extendable bipartite graph and $e = xy \in E(\bar{G})$, where $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, then $G \cup \{e\}$ is also k -extendable.*

Corollary 10. *Let G be a maximal k -extendable graph on v vertices with $v/2 + 2 \leq 2k + 1$. Then*

- (a) *if $k + 1 \leq \delta \leq v/2$, then G is $K_{v/2, v/2}$ and hence $\delta = v/2$;*
- (b) *if $2k + 1 \leq \delta \leq v - 1$, then G is K_v and hence $\delta = v - 1$.*

Proof. By Theorem 7, if $k + 1 \leq \delta \leq v/2$, then G is bipartite. Otherwise $\delta(G) \geq \kappa(G) \geq 2k$. When $v/2 + 2 \leq 2k + 1$, $\delta(G) \neq 2k$ by Lemma 1. Hence, $\delta(G) \geq 2k + 1 \geq v/2 + 2$ and G is non-bipartite. By Lemma 9, we have conclusion (a). By Lemma 8(a), we have conclusion (b). \square

Remark 2. Corollary 10 characterizes all maximal k -extendable graphs with $v < 4k$. It shows that the minimum degree of a maximal k -extendable graph G with $v \leq 4k - 2$ is either $v/2$ or $v - 1$. But for the case of $v \geq 4k$, we give a family of maximal k -extendable graphs to show that the minimum degree of G can be much more diverse.

Let $G_i = K_{r_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where each r_i is an odd number and $r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_m = 2k - 2 + m$. Let $H_j = K_{s_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where each s_j is an odd number and $s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_m = 2k - 2 + m$. And let $G = (G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_m) + (H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_m)$. Then it is not too difficult to verify that G is maximal k -extendable but not $(k + 1)$ -extendable. When we take $m = 2$, by choosing proper r_i and s_i ($i = 1, 2$), we have $\delta(G) = t$ for all even numbers t such that $v/2 \leq t \leq v/2 + 2 \lfloor (k - 1)/2 \rfloor$. When we take $m = 3$, by choosing proper r_i and s_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), we have $\delta(G) = t$ for all odd numbers t such that $v/2 \leq t \leq v/2 + \lfloor (2k + 1)/3 \rfloor - 1$.

4. Minimal k -extendable graphs with large k

In this section, we show that the minimum degree of a minimal k -extendable graph with $v \leq 4k$ and $k + 1 \leq \delta \leq v/2$ is $k + 1$. We introduce a result of Lou [6] as a lemma.

Lemma 11 (Lou [6]). *If G is a minimal k -extendable bipartite graph, then $\delta(G) = k + 1$, and furthermore, there are at least $2k + 2$ vertices of degree $k + 1$ in G .*

Corollary 12. *Let G be a minimal k -extendable graph on v vertices with $v/2 + 1 \leq 2k + 1$. If $k + 1 \leq \delta(G) \leq v/2$, then $\delta(G) = k + 1$. Furthermore, there are at least $2k + 2$ vertices of degree $k + 1$ in G .*

Proof. By Theorem 7, if $k + 1 \leq \delta(G) \leq v/2$, then G is bipartite. By Lemma 11, the result follows. \square

Since a k -extendable graph with $k \geq v/4$ is rather dense, we make the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1. *Let G be a minimal k -extendable graph on v vertices with $v/2 + 1 \leq 2k + 1$. Then $\delta(G) = k + 1$, $2k$ or $2k + 1$.*

In particular, for the case of $v \leq 4k - 2$, we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 2. *Let G be a minimal k -extendable graph on v vertices with $v/2 + 2 \leq 2k + 1$. If $2k + 1 \leq \delta \leq v - 1$, then $\delta(G) = 2k + 1$.*

5. Hamiltonicity of k -extendable graphs with large k

In this section, we show that a k -extendable graph is Hamiltonian if k is sufficiently large with respect to its order.

Lemma 13 (Dirac [5]). *If $\delta(G) \geq v/2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Lemma 14 (Jackson [3]). *Let $G = (X, Y)$ be a connected bipartite graph with $|X| = |Y| = n$. If $\delta(G) \geq (n + 1)/2$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Corollary 15. *If G is a k -extendable graph with $k \geq v/4$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

Proof. By Theorem 7, if $k + 1 \leq \delta(G) \leq v/2$, $G = (X, Y)$ is bipartite with $|X| = |Y| = v/2 \leq 2k$. However, $\delta(G) \geq k + 1 = (2k + 2)/2 > (|X| + 1)/2$, by Lemma 14, G is Hamiltonian. Otherwise $\delta(G) \geq \kappa(G) \geq 2k \geq v/2$, by Lemma 13, G is Hamiltonian. \square

Remark 3. Although we did not find new Hamiltonian graphs in Corollary 15, we did show the relation between k -extendable graphs and Hamiltonian graphs that a k -extendable graph with sufficiently large k with respect to the order $v(G)$ is Hamiltonian. In fact, we suspect that the lower bound on k in Corollary 15 is not best possible. And hence, we give the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3. *If G is a k -extendable graph with $k > (v - 2)/6$, then G is Hamiltonian.*

The lower bound on k in Conjecture 3 is best possible. Let $S = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2k}\}$ be an independent set and $H = (2k + 1)K_2$ with $V(H) \cap S = \emptyset$. Then $G = S + H$ is a k -extendable graph but G is not Hamiltonian as G is not 1-tough. Here $v(G) = 6k + 2$, that is $k = (v - 2)/6$. The above counterexamples also show that a k -extendable graph with arbitrarily large k (but v is also sufficiently large) is not guaranteed to be Hamiltonian.

Acknowledgements

D.L. was supported by the National Science Foundation of China and he is indebted to the University College of the Cariboo for the hospitality during his visit to the institution where this research was carried out. Q.Y. was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada under grant OGP0122059.

References

- [1] N. Ananchuen, L. Caccetta, On critically k -extendable graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* 6 (1992) 39–65.
- [2] N. Ananchuen, L. Caccetta, Matching extension and minimum degree, *Discrete Math.* 170 (1997) 1–13.
- [3] J.A. Bondy, Basic graph theory: paths and circuits, in: R.L. Graham, M. Grotschel, L. Lovasz (Eds.), *Handbook of Combinatorics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1990, p. 56.
- [4] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan Press, London, 1976.
- [5] G.A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 2 (1952) 69–81.
- [6] D. Lou, On the structure of minimally n -extendable bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.* 202 (1999) 173–181.
- [7] M.D. Plummer, On n -extendable graphs, *Discrete Math.* 31 (1980) 201–210.
- [8] M.D. Plummer, Matching extension in bipartite graphs, *Congr. Numer.* 54 (1988) 245–258.
- [9] M.D. Plummer, Extending matchings in graphs: an update, *Congr. Numer.* 116 (1996) 3–32.
- [10] Q. Yu, A note on n -extendable graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 16 (1992) 349–353.