# Generalization of matching extensions in graphs (II) ${ }^{2 / 2}$ 

Zemin Jin ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Huifang Yan ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Qinglin Yu ${ }^{\text {a, }}$ b<br>${ }^{a}$ Center for Combinatorics, LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin, PR China<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Thompson Rivers University, Kamloops, BC, Canada<br>Received 29 November 2004; received in revised form 30 September 2006; accepted 6 October 2006<br>Available online 20 December 2006


#### Abstract

Proposed as a general framework, Liu and Yu [Generalization of matching extensions in graphs, Discrete Math. 231 (2001) 311-320.] introduced $(n, k, d)$-graphs to unify the concepts of deficiency of matchings, $n$-factor-criticality and $k$-extendability. Let $G$ be a graph and let $n, k$ and $d$ be non-negative integers such that $n+2 k+d \leqslant|V(G)|-2$ and $|V(G)|-n-d$ is even. If when deleting any $n$ vertices from $G$, the remaining subgraph $H$ of $G$ contains a $k$-matching and each such $k$-matching can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching in $H$, then $G$ is called an $(n, k, d)$-graph. Liu and Yu's Papee's paper, the recursive relations for distinct parameters $n, k$ and $d$ were presented and the impact of adding or deleting an edge also was discussed for the case $d=0$. In this paper, we continue the study begun by Liu and Yu and obtain new recursive results for $(n, k, d)$-graphs in the general case $d \geqslant 0$. © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider only finite, undirected and simple graphs. Denote by $N_{G}(x)$ set of neighbors of a vertex $x$ in $G$. If no confusion occurs, we write $N(x)$ for $N_{G}(x)$. Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. A matching $M$ of $G$ is a subset of $E(G)$ such that any two edges of $M$ have no vertices in common. A matching of $k$ edges is called a $k$-matching. Let $d$ be a non-negative integer. A matching is called a defect- $d$ matching of $G$ if it covers exactly $|V(G)|-d$ vertices of $G$. Clearly, a defect-0 matching is a perfect matching. A necessary and sufficient condition for a graph to have a defect- $d$ matching was given by Berge [1].

Theorem 1.1 (Berge [1]). Let G be a graph and let d be an integer such that $0 \leqslant d \leqslant|V(G)|$ and $|V(G)| \equiv d$ (mod 2). Then $G$ has a defect-d matching if and only if for any $S \subseteq V(G)$

$$
\mathrm{o}(G-S) \leqslant|S|+d
$$

For a subset $S$ of $V(G)$, we denote by $G[S]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$ and we write $G-S$ for $G[V(G) \backslash S]$. The number of odd components of $G$ is denoted by o $(G)$. Let $M$ be a matching of $G$. If there is a matching $M^{\prime}$ of $G$

[^0]such that $M \subseteq M^{\prime}$, then we say that $M$ can be extended to $M^{\prime}$ or $M^{\prime}$ is an extension of $M$. If each $k$-matching can be extended to a perfect matching in $G$, then $G$ is called $k$-extendable. To avoid triviality, we require that $|V(G)| \geqslant 2 k+2$ for $k$-extendable graphs. This family of graphs was introduced by Plummer [6] and studied extensively by Lovász and Plummer [5].

A graph $G$ is called $n$-factor-critical if after deleting any $n$ vertices the remaining subgraph of $G$ has a perfect matching. This concept is introduced by Favaron [2] and Yu [8], independently, which is a generalization of the notions of the well-known factor-critical graphs and bicritical graphs (the cases of $n=1$ and 2). Characterizations of $n$-factorcritical graphs, properties of $n$-factor-critical graphs and its relationships with other graphic parameters (e.g., degree sum, toughness, binding number, connectivity, etc.) have been discussed in [2,3,8].

Let $G$ be a graph and let $n, k$ and $d$ be non-negative integers such that $|V(G)| \geqslant n+2 k+d+2$ and $|V(G)|-n-d$ is even. If when deleting any $n$ vertices from $G$, the remaining subgraph of $G$ contains a $k$-matching and each of such $k$-matchings can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching in the subgraph, then $G$ is called an $(n, k, d)$-graph. This term was introduced by Liu and $\mathrm{Yu}[4]$ as a general framework to unify the concepts of defect- $d$ matchings, $n$-factor-criticality and $k$-extendability. In particular, ( $n, 0,0$ )-graphs are exactly $n$-factor-critical graphs and ( $0, k, 0$ )-graphs are just the same as $k$-extendable graphs. This framework enables the authors to prove a series of general results which include many earlier results of matching theory as special cases. In [4], Liu and Yu provided the following necessary and sufficient conditions for a graph to be an $(n, k, d)$-graph.

Theorem 1.2. A graph $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) For any $S \subseteq V(G)$ and $|S| \geqslant n$, then

$$
\mathrm{o}(G-S) \leqslant|S|-n+d .
$$

(ii) For any $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that $|S| \geqslant n+2 k$ and $G[S]$ contains a $k$-matching,

$$
\mathrm{o}(G-S) \leqslant|S|-n-2 k+d .
$$

Besides necessary and sufficient conditions, one interesting problem is to find recursive relations for different parameters $n, k$ and $d$. Here, we list some of the relevant results (i.e., Theorems 1.3-1.6) presented in [4] for the convenience of the reader.

Theorem 1.3. Every $(n, k, d)$-graph $G$ is also an $\left(n^{\prime}, k^{\prime}, d\right)$-graph where $0 \leqslant n^{\prime} \leqslant n, 0 \leqslant k^{\prime} \leqslant k$ and $n^{\prime} \equiv n(\bmod 2)$.
In particular, for $d=0$, the following result was proved.
Theorem 1.4. If $G$ is an $(n, k, 0)$-graph and $n \geqslant 1, k \geqslant 2$, then $G$ is an $(n+2, k-2,0)$-graph.
The authors in [4] also considered other recursive properties of ( $n, k, d$ )-graphs, for instance, determining the parameters $n^{\prime}, k^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$ such that, when adding or deleting an edge from an ( $n, k, d$ )-graph, the resulting graph is an ( $n^{\prime}, k^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ )-graph. The focus in [4] is mostly on the case of $d=0$ and obtained several interesting results. For graphs obtained by adding an edge to an $(n, k, d)$-graph, the following result was shown.

Theorem 1.5. Let $G$ be an $(n, k, 0)$-graph with $n, k \geqslant 1$. Then for any edge $e \notin E(G), G \cup e$ is an $(n, k-1,0)$ - graph.
Moreover, for graphs obtained by deleting an edge from an $(n, k, d)$-graph, there is the following result.
Theorem 1.6. Let $G$ be an $(n, k, 0)$-graph, $n \geqslant 2$ and $k \geqslant 1$. Then for any edge e of $G$,
(i) $G-e$ is an $(n-2, k, 0)$-graph.
(ii) $G-e$ is an $(n, k-1,0)$-graph.

Note that the recursive results for $d>0$ are not investigated in [4]. In this paper, our main focus is to extend Theorems $1.4-1.6$ to the case of $d \geqslant 0$. The results are natural extensions of those in the case of $d=0$, but the proofs are somewhat
more involved. Section 2 is devoted to recursive relations for graphs obtained by adding an edge to an ( $n, k, d$ )-graph. Section 3 presents a recursive relation for graphs obtained by adding a vertex. Similar recursive results for graphs obtained by deleting an edge from an $(n, k, d)$-graph are presented in Section 4.

## 2. Recursive relations for adding an edge

In this section, we consider recursive relations for graphs obtained by adding an edge to an $(n, k, d)$-graph. First we have the following result.

Theorem 2.1. For any $n>d \geqslant 0$ and $k \geqslant 1$, if $G$ is an ( $n, k, d$ )-graph, then $G \cup e$ is an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph for any $e \notin E(G)$.

Proof. For $k=1$, since $G$ is an $(n, 1, d)$-graph, by Theorem 1.3, it is also an $(n, 0, d)$-graph. Hence $G \cup e$ is an ( $n, 0, d$ )-graph.

So assume that $k \geqslant 2$. If $G \cup e$ is not an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph for some edge $e \notin E(G)$, then there exists an $n$-subset $S^{\prime} \subseteq V(G)$ and a $(k-2)$-matching $M^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1} y_{1}, x_{2} y_{2}, \ldots, x_{k-2} y_{k-2}\right\}$ such that the $(k-1)$-matching $e \cup M^{\prime}$ cannot be extended to a defect- $d$ matching of $G-S^{\prime}$. Let $e=x y$ and $S^{\prime \prime}=V\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a vertex set $S_{1} \subseteq G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-x-y$ such that o $\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-x-y-S_{1}\right) \geqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d+1$. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, according to Theorem 1.3, it is also an $(n, k-2, d)$-graph. From Theorem 1.2(ii), o $\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-x-y-S_{1}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-\right.$ $\left.S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)+2 \leqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2$. By a simple parity argument, we have $\mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-x-y-S_{1}\right)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2$. Let $S_{2}=S_{1} \cup\{x, y\}$.Then, $\mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{2}\right)=\left|S_{2}\right|+d$.

Claim 1. $S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}$ is an independent set in $G$.
Suppose $e_{1}=u v$ is an edge in $G\left[S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right]$. Then $u v \cup M^{\prime}$ is a $(k-1)$-matching. Let $S=\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}-u-v\right) \cup\left(S^{\prime \prime} \cup\{u, v\}\right)$ which is of order $\left|S_{2}\right|+n+2(k-1)-2$ and contains a $(k-1)$-matching. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, according to Theorem 1.3, $G$ is also an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph. Then from Theorem 1.2(ii) and recalling the fact that $\left|S_{2}\right| \geqslant 2$, we have

$$
\mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{2}\right)=\mathrm{o}(G-S) \leqslant|S|-n-2(k-1)+d=\left|S_{2}\right|+d-2
$$

a contradiction.
Let $H=G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{2}$.
Claim 2. No even component of $H$ is connected to $S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}$.
Assume that there is an edge, say $e_{2}=u v$, joining an even component $C$ of $H$ to $S_{2} \cup S^{\prime}$, where $u \in S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}$ and $v \in V(C)$. Then $e_{2} \cup M^{\prime}$ is a $(k-1)$-matching. Let $S=\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}-u\right) \cup\left(S^{\prime \prime} \cup\{u, v\}\right)$ which is of order $n-1+\left|S_{2}\right|+2(k-1)$ and contains a $(k-1)$-matching. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, it is also an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph. Hence Theorem 1.2(ii) implies that o $(G-S) \leqslant|S|-n-2(k-1)+d=\left|S_{2}\right|-1+d$. However, since the total number of odd components increases by at least one upon deleting $v$ from the even component $C$, we have that $\mathrm{o}(G-S) \geqslant \mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{2}\right)+1=\left|S_{2}\right|+d+1$, a contradiction.

Claim 3. For every odd component $O$ of $H$, there do not exist two independent edges $e_{3}=u_{1} v_{1}$ and $e_{4}=u_{2} v_{2}$ joining $O$ to $S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}$, where $u_{1}, u_{2} \in S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}$ and $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V(O)$.

Suppose, to the contrary, that $e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ are two such edges. Then $e_{3} \cup e_{4} \cup M^{\prime}$ is a $k$-matching. Let $S=\left(S^{\prime} \cup\right.$ $\left.S^{\prime \prime}-u_{1}-u_{2}\right) \cup\left(S^{\prime \prime} \cup\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}\right)$ which is of order $\left|S_{2}\right|+n-2+2 k$ and contains a $k$-matching. Since $G$ is an ( $n, k, d$ )-graph, then according to Theorem 1.2(ii), we have

$$
\mathrm{o}(G-S) \leqslant|S|-n-2 k+d=\left|S_{2}\right|+n-2+2 k-n-2 k+d=\left|S_{2}\right|-2+d
$$

However, since the total number of odd components does not decrease by deleting $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ from the odd component $O$, we have $\mathrm{o}(G-S) \geqslant \mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{2}\right)=\left|S_{2}\right|+d$, a contradiction.

According to Claim 3, we conclude that for any odd component $O$ of $H$, if it is connected to $S_{2}$ or $S^{\prime}$ in graph $G-S^{\prime \prime}$, then either $\left|N(V(O)) \cap\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right)\right|=1$ or $\left|N\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right) \cap V(O)\right|=1$.

Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, $G-S^{\prime \prime}$ is an $(n, 2, d)$-graph by Theorem 1.6(ii). Suppose that there are $h$ odd components connected to neither $S^{\prime}$ nor $S_{2}$, and $t$ odd components $C_{1}, C_{2}, \ldots, C_{t}$ with $\left|N\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right) \cap V\left(C_{i}\right)\right|=1$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, and $p=\left|S_{2}\right|+d-h-t$ odd components $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{p}$ with $\left|N\left(V\left(D_{i}\right)\right) \cap\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right)\right|=1,1 \leqslant i \leqslant p$. Then $h+t+p=\left|S_{2}\right|+d$. Let $U=\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} N\left(V\left(D_{i}\right)\right) \cap\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right)=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{q}\right\}$. We consider the following three cases:

Case 1: $n \leqslant t$. Let $S_{3}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap N\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right)$. Then $\left|S_{3}\right|=n$. Now we consider the $n$-set $S_{3}$ and $(k-2)$-matching $M^{\prime}$. From Claim 1, $S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}$ is an independent set in $G-S^{\prime \prime}$. In $G-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{3}, S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}$ must be matched by vertices of $\left|S_{2}\right|+d-h-n$ odd components from $C_{n+1}, C_{n+2}, \ldots, C_{t}, D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{p}$ and any maximum matching of $G-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{3}$ must miss at least one vertex from each of $h$ odd components which is connected to neither $S^{\prime}$ nor $S^{\prime \prime}$. Altogether, a maximum matching of $G-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{3}$ will miss at least

$$
h+\left|S_{2}\right|+n-\left(\left|S_{2}\right|+d-h-n\right)=2 n+2 h-d \geqslant d+2
$$

vertices (recall that $n>d \geqslant 0$ ), which contradicts the fact that $G-S^{\prime \prime}$ is an ( $n, 2, d$ )-graph.
Case 2: $t<n \leqslant q+t$. Let $S_{3}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap N\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right)\right) \bigcup\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-t}\right\}$. Now we consider the $n$-set $S_{3}$ and $(k-2)$-matching $M^{\prime}$. Suppose that there are $f$ odd components $D_{i_{1}}, D_{i_{2}}, \ldots, D_{i_{f}}$ among $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{p}$ which are connected to $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-t}\right\}$ in $G-S^{\prime \prime}$. It is obvious that $f \geqslant n-t$. Note that each vertex of $\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right)-S_{3}$ can only be matched by vertices from $\left|S_{2}\right|+d-h-t-f$ odd components $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{p}\right\} \backslash\left\{D_{i_{1}}, D_{i_{2}}, \ldots, D_{i_{f}}\right\}$ in $G-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{3}$. Furthermore, any maximum matching of $G-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{3}$ must miss at least one vertex from $D_{i_{j}}, 1 \leqslant j \leqslant f$, and at least one vertex from each of $h$ odd components which is connected to neither $S^{\prime}$ nor $S^{\prime \prime}$.Thus any maximum matching of $G-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{3}$ must miss at least

$$
\begin{aligned}
f+h+\left|S_{2}\right|+n-(n-t)-\left(\left|S_{2}\right|+d-h-f-t\right) & =2 h+2 t+2 f-d \\
& \geqslant 2 h+2 t+2 n-2 t-d \\
& \geqslant d+2
\end{aligned}
$$

vertices, which implies that $G-S^{\prime \prime}$ is not an $(n, 2, d)$-graph, a contradiction again.
Case 3: $n>q+t$. Let $S_{3}=\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} V\left(C_{i}\right) \cap N\left(S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}\right)\right) \bigcup U \bigcup S_{4}$, where $S_{4} \subseteq S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}-U$ and $\left|S_{4}\right|=n-q-t$. Now we consider the $n$-set $S_{3}$ and $(k-2)$-matching $M^{\prime}$. Note that any maximum matching of $G-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{3}$ must miss at least one vertex from each of the $h$ odd components connected to neither $S^{\prime}$ nor $S_{2}$ and at least one vertex from $\left|S_{2}\right|+d-h-t$ odd components $D_{1}, D_{2}, \ldots, D_{p}$. Furthermore, $\left|S_{2}\right|+n-(n-t)$ vertices of $S^{\prime} \cup S_{2}-S_{3}$ must be missed by any maximum matching of $G-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{3}$. Thus any maximum matching of $G-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{3}$ must miss at least

$$
h+\left|S_{2}\right|+d-h-t+\left|S_{2}\right|+n-(n-t)=2\left|S_{2}\right|+d \geqslant d+4
$$

vertices $\left(\left|S_{2}\right| \geqslant 2\right)$, which implies that $G-S^{\prime \prime}$ is not an ( $n, 2, d$ )-graph, a contradiction again.
This completes the proof.
Suppose $n, k \geqslant 1$. Clearly Theorem 1.5 is a special case of Theorem 2.1. Note that the additional condition $n>d$ in Theorem 2.1 is necessary. For example, consider a complete bipartite graph $K_{3, d+2}$ with bipartition $U=\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right\}$ and $W=\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, \ldots, w_{d+2}\right\}$. Let $H$ be a graph obtained by replacing each $w_{i}$ by a complete graph $K_{2 m+1}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d+2$. Obviously, $H$ is a $(1,2, d)$-graph, but $H \cup u_{1} u_{2}$ is not a $(1,1, d)$-graph for $d>0$. An interesting property of the graph $H$ is that $H$ is a $(1,2, d)$-graph, but not a $(3,0, d)$-graph for $d>0$. So the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 does not always hold for $n>d>0$.

Similarly, under the additional condition $n>d$, we have the following result which extends Theorem 1.4 to the case of $d>0$.

Theorem 2.2. For any $n>d \geqslant 0$ and $k \geqslant 2$, if $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, then $G$ is also an $(n+2, k-2, d)$-graph.
Proof. Suppose that $G$ is not an $(n+2, k-2, d)$-graph. Then there exist a vertex set $S^{\prime}$ of order $n+2$ and $(k-2)$ matching $M^{\prime}$ such that $M^{\prime}$ cannot be extended to a defect- $d$ matching of $G-S^{\prime}$, i.e., $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}$ has no defect- $d$ matchings.

Claim. $S^{\prime}$ is an independent set in $G$.
If $e=u v$ is an edge in $G\left[S^{\prime}\right]$, then $e \cup M^{\prime}$ can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching of $G-\left(S^{\prime}-u-v\right)$ since $G$ is an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph, i.e., $G-S^{\prime}-V\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ has a defect- $d$ matching, a contradiction.

Let $u, v$ be two vertices in $S^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}=G \cup u v$. By Theorem 2.1, $G^{\prime}$ is an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph. That is, $u v \cup M^{\prime}$ can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching $M$ of $G-\left(S^{\prime}-\{u, v\}\right)$. Then $M$ is also a defect- $d$ matching of $G-S^{\prime}$ which contains $M^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

This completes the proof.

## 3. Recursive relation for adding a vertex

Let $G$ be a graph and $x \notin V(G)$. Denote by $G+x$ the graph obtained by joining each vertex of $G$ to $x$. Here we consider the recursive result of adding a vertex to an $(n, k, d)$-graph.

Theorem 3.1. Let $G$ be an $(n, k, d)$-graph with $k>0$ and $n>d$. Then $G+x$ is an $(n+1, k-1, d)$-graph for any vertex $x \notin V(G)$.

Proof. Denote $G^{\prime}=G+x$. Let $S$ be an $(n+1)$-set of $V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $M^{\prime}$ a $(k-1)$-matching of $G^{\prime}-S$. We consider the following cases:

Case 1: $x \in S$. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, it is also an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph. Let $S^{\prime}=S-\{x\}$. Then $M^{\prime}$ can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching $M$ of $G-S^{\prime}$ and $M$ is also a defect- $d$ matching of $G^{\prime}-S$ which contains the ( $k-1$ )-matching $M^{\prime}$.

Case 2: $x \in V\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Let $x y$ be an edge of the $(k-1)$-matching $M^{\prime}$. If $N(y) \cap S \neq \emptyset$, say $z \in N(y) \cap S$, then $M^{\prime \prime}=\left(M^{\prime}-x y\right) \cup y z$ is a $(k-1)$-matching and $S^{\prime \prime}=S-\{z\}$ is an $n$-set. Hence $M^{\prime \prime}$ can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching $M$ of $G-S^{\prime \prime}$. It follows that $(M-\{y z\}) \cup\{x y\}$ is also a defect- $d$ matching of $G^{\prime}-S$ which contains $M^{\prime}$. If $N(y) \cap S=\emptyset$, we choose $z$ to be any vertex of $S$. According to Theorem 2.1, $G \cup y z$ is an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph. Since $M^{\prime \prime}=\left(M^{\prime}-x y\right) \cup y z$ is a $(k-1)$-matching and $S^{\prime \prime}=S-\{z\}$ is an $n$-set, $M^{\prime \prime}$ can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching $M$ of $(G \cup y z)-S^{\prime \prime}$. Then $(M-\{y z\}) \cup\{x y\}$ is also a defect- $d$ matching of $G^{\prime}-S$ which contains $M^{\prime}$.

Case 3: $x \in V(G)-S-V\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, $G$ is also an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph. Let $y$ be any vertex of $S$ and set $S^{\prime}=S-y$. Then $M^{\prime}$ can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching $M$ of $G-S^{\prime}$ and $d_{M}(y)=0$ or $d_{M}(y)=1$. If $d_{M}(y)=0$, then it is obvious that $M$ is also a defect- $d$ matching of $G^{\prime}-S$ which contains $M^{\prime}$. If $d_{M}(y)=1$, let $N_{M}(y)=z$. Then $(M-y z) \cup x z$ is a defect- $d$ matching of $G^{\prime}-S$.

## 4. Recursive relations for deleting an edge

By presenting an example $H \cong d K_{2 m+1} \cup K_{2}, m \geqslant 1$, Liu and Yu [4] observed that Theorem 1.6(i) does not hold for $d>0$ in general. Clearly $H$ is a $(2,1, d)$-graph. But $H-e$ is not a $(0,1, d)$-graph, where $e$ is the edge in the component $K_{2}$ of $H$. Furthermore, the graph $H$ implies that Theorem 1.6(ii) does not hold for $d>0$ as well. Note that the graph $H$ constructed above is not connected. We present a connected example by modifying $H$ as follows. Let $H^{\prime}=H+u$. It is obvious that $H^{\prime}$ is a $(3,1, d)$-graph, but $H^{\prime}-e$ is not a $(1,1, d)$-graph. Moreover, $H^{\prime}$ is a connected counterexample to Theorem 1.6(ii) for $d>0$.

In this section, we provide structural theorems for $G-e$ to be an $(n-2, k, d)$-graph and an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph, respectively. Also, we discuss the impact of deleting an edge from bipartite ( $n, k, d$ )-graphs.

Theorem 4.1. Let $G$ be an $(n, k, d)$-graph with $n \geqslant 2$. Then, for an edge $u v \in E(G), G-u v$ is not an $(n-2, k, d)$ graph if and only if there exists a vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S|=n-2+2 k$ such that $G[S]$ contains a $k$-matching and $G-S$ is the union of $d$ odd components, each of which is factor-critical, and the single edge $u v$.

Proof. ( $\Leftarrow$ ) The sufficient condition is obvious.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Let $G^{\prime}=G-u v$. If $G^{\prime}$ is not an $(n-2, k, d)$-graph, then there exists a $(n-2)$-set $S^{\prime} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and a $k$-matching $M^{\prime}$ which cannot be extended to a defect- $d$ matching of $G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}$. Let $S^{\prime \prime}=V\left(M^{\prime}\right)$. Then, by Theorem 1.1, there exists a vertex set $S_{1} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}\right)-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}$ such that o $\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right) \geqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d+1$. Then we have $\{u, v\} \cap\left(S^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime} \cup_{1}\right)=\emptyset$, for otherwise,
since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, from Theorem 1.2(ii), we have $\mathrm{o}\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right) \leqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d$, a contradiction. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, we have o $\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S-S_{1}\right)+2 \leqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2$. By a simple parity argument, we have $\mathrm{o}\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2$. Furthermore, since $\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2=\mathrm{o}\left(G^{\prime}-\right.$ $\left.S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)+2$, we have $\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d$. Thus $u v$ must be a bridge of an even component of $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}$, which implies that $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}$ contains at least one even component.

Let $H=G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}$.

## Claim 1. H has exactly one even component.

Suppose that $H$ has more than one even component. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be two such even components of $H$ and $x_{1} \in V\left(C_{1}\right)$, $x_{2} \in V\left(C_{2}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{o}(H)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d$ and, by deleting $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ from $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, the total number of the odd components increases by at least two, we have $\mathrm{o}\left(H-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \geqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2$. However, $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, from Theorem 1.2(ii), so o $\left(G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}\right)-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\mathrm{o}\left(H-x_{1}-x_{2}\right) \leqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d$, a contradiction.

Claim 2. $\left|S_{1}\right|=0$.
Suppose $\left|S_{1}\right| \geqslant 1$. Let $C$ be the even component of $H, x \in S_{1}$, and $y \in V(C)$. Since $G$ is an ( $n, k, d$ )-graph, from Theorem 1.2(ii), we have $\mathrm{o}(H-y)=\mathrm{o}\left(G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup\{x, y\}\right)-S^{\prime \prime}-\left(S_{1}-x\right)\right) \leqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d-1$. However, the total number of the odd components increases when deleting the vertex $y$ from the even component $C$. Since $\mathrm{o}(H)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d$, we have $\mathrm{o}(H-y) \geqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d+1$, a contradiction. Thus $\left|S_{1}\right|=0$.

Let $S=S^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime}$. Then $G-S$ is the union of one even component $C$ which contains edge $u v$ and $d$ odd components $O_{1}, O_{2}, \ldots, O_{d}$. Since $\mathrm{o}\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2$ and $u v$ is a bridge of $C$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $C-u v=O_{d+1} \cup O_{d+2}$. Then $G^{\prime}-S$ is the union of $d+2$ odd components $O_{1}, O_{2}, \ldots, O_{d+2}$. Without loss of generality, assume $u \in O_{d+1}$ and $v \in O_{d+2}$.

Claim 3. $C \cong K_{2}$ and each odd component $O_{i}, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$, is factor-critical.
Suppose that $|V(C)| \geqslant 4$. Without loss of generality, assume that $x$ is a vertex different from $u$ in $O_{d+1}$. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, from Theorem 1.2(ii), we have $\mathrm{o}\left(G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup\{u, x\}\right)-S^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqslant d$. However, the total number of the odd components does not decrease by deleting $u$ and $x$ from $O_{d+1}$, which implies that $\mathrm{o}\left(G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup\{u, x\}\right)-S^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{o}\left(G^{\prime}-\right.$ $\left.\left(S^{\prime} \cup\{u, x\}\right)-S^{\prime \prime}\right)=d+2$, a contradiction. So $|V(C)|=2$ and $E(C)=\{u v\}$.

If $\left|O_{j}\right|=1$, for all $j$, we are done. So suppose that for some $j(1 \leqslant j \leqslant d),\left|O_{j}\right| \geqslant 3$ and there exists a vertex $x \in V\left(O_{j}\right)$ such that $O_{j}-x$ has no perfect matching. Then any maximum matching of $G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup\{u, x\}\right)-S^{\prime \prime}$ will miss at least $d+2$ vertices. However, since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, $G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup\{u, x\}\right)-S^{\prime \prime}$ has a defect- $d$ matching, a contradiction.

From the definition of $(n, k, d)$-graphs, there exists no such vertex set $S$ mentioned in Theorem 4.1 for $d=0$. So Theorem 1.6 follows from Theorem 4.1.

Though Theorem 1.6(i) may not hold for $d>0$ in general, but there are classes of graphs for which Theorem 1.6(i) holds for $d>0$ without the additional condition $n>d$. We will see that bipartite graphs are one of such classes.

Theorem 4.2. Let $G$ be a bipartite ( $n, k, d$ )-graph with $n \geqslant 2$. Then, for each edge e of $G, G-e$ is an $(n-2, k, d)$-graph .
Proof. Let $e=u v \in E(G)$. Suppose that $G-u v$ is not an $(n-2, k, d)$-graph. Then, by Theorem 4.1, there exists a vertex set $S \subseteq V(G),|S|=n-2+2 k$, such that $G[S]$ contains a $k$-matching and $G-S$ is the union of $d$-factor-critical components and the single edge $e=u v$ since a bipartite graph of order more than 1 is not factor-critical, each odd component is a singleton, i.e., $|V(G)|=|S|+d+2=n+2 k+d$. However, from the definition of the ( $n, k, d$ )-graph, we have $n+2 k+d \leqslant|V(G)|-2$, a contradiction.

Theorem 1.6(ii) does not directly extend to the case $d>0$ in general. However, sometimes we can characterize the edges which cause the statement in Theorem 1.6(ii) to fail.

Theorem 4.3. Let $G$ be an $(n, k, d)$-graph with $k \geqslant 1$, and $u v \in E(G)$ such that

$$
\max \left\{d_{G}(u), d_{G}(v)\right\} \geqslant 2 k .
$$

Then $G-u v$ is not an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph if and only if there exists a vertex subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with $|S|=n-2+2 k$ such that $G[S]$ contains a $(k-1)$-matching and $G-S$ is the union of $d$ factor-critical odd components and the single edge $u v$.

Proof. $(\Leftarrow)$ The sufficient condition is obvious.
$(\Rightarrow)$ Let $G^{\prime}=G-u v$. Suppose that $G^{\prime}$ is not an $(n, k-1, d)$-graph. Then there exist an $n$-set $S^{\prime} \subseteq V(G)$ and a ( $k-1$ )-matching $M^{\prime}$ which cannot be extended to a defect- $d$ matching of $G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}$. Denote $V\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ by $S^{\prime \prime}$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a vertex set $S_{1} \subseteq V\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that o $\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right) \geqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d+1$. Then we have $\{u, v\} \cap\left(S^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime} \cup S_{1}\right)=\emptyset$, for otherwise, since $G$ is an ( $n, k, d$ )-graph, from Theorem 1.2(ii), we have $\mathrm{o}\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right) \leqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d$, a contradiction. Moreover, that $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph implies o $\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)+2 \leqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2$. By a simple parity argument, we conclude $\mathrm{o}\left(G^{\prime}-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2$ and $\mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d$. Thus $u v$ must be a bridge of an even component $C$ of $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}$, which implies that $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}$ contains at least one even component.

Claim 1. $\left(\left(N_{G}(u) \cup N_{G}(v)\right) \cap\left(V(G)-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)-\{u, v\}=\emptyset$.
Suppose that $u x$ is an edge in $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-v$. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, $u x \cup M^{\prime}$ is a $k$-matching of $G-S^{\prime}$ which can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching $M$ of $G-S^{\prime}$. Then $M$ is a defect- $d$ matching which contains $M^{\prime}$ but not $u v$, a contradiction.

Claim 1 implies that $C$ is a complete graph consisting of the single edge $u v$.
Claim 2. $S_{1}=\emptyset$.
Without loss of generality, assume that $d_{G}(u) \geqslant 2 k$ (i.e., $\left.d_{G}(u)>\left|S^{\prime \prime}\right|+|\{v\}|\right)$. Thus $N(u) \cap S^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ or $N(u) \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Consider the case of $N(u) \cap S^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in N(u) \cap S^{\prime}$ and $y \in S_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, the $k$-matching $M^{\prime} \cup u x$ can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching of $G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup y-x\right)$. Thus $o\left(G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup y-x\right)-\left(S^{\prime \prime} \cup u x\right)-\left(S_{1}-y\right)\right) \leqslant\left|S_{1}\right|-$ $1+d$. On the other hand, since $o\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d$ and $C$ is a single edge, $G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup y-x\right)-\left(S^{\prime \prime} \cup u x\right)-\left(S_{1}-y\right)$ has $\left|S_{1}\right|+d+1$ odd components, a contradiction. For the case of $N(u) \cap S_{1} \neq \emptyset$, we obtain a similar contradiction.

Claim 3. $C$ is the only even component of $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}$.
The arguments are similar to that of Claim 2. Suppose that there is another even component $C^{\prime}$ in $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}$. Let $y \in V\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Then there exists an edge $u x \in E\left(C, S^{\prime}\right)$ so that the $k$-matching $M^{\prime} \cup u x$ can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching of $G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup y-x\right)$ which implies that $\mathrm{o}\left(G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup y-x\right)-\left(S^{\prime \prime} \cup u x\right)-S_{1}\right) \leqslant\left|S_{1}\right|+d$. However, since $\mathrm{o}\left(G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}-S_{1}\right)=\left|S_{1}\right|+d$ and the number of odd components increases upon deleting $y$ from $C^{\prime}, G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup y-x\right)-\left(S^{\prime \prime} \cup u x\right)-S_{1}$ has at least $\left|S_{1}\right|+d+2$ odd components, a contradiction.

Claim 4. Each odd component of $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}$ is factor-critical.
Suppose that $O$ is an odd component of $G-S^{\prime}-S^{\prime \prime}$ which is not factor-critical. Hence there exists a vertex $y \in V(O)$ such that $O-y$ has no perfect matching. Since $G$ is an $(n, k, d)$-graph, $G-S^{\prime \prime}$ is an $(n, 1, d)$-graph. Thus, for any $x \in N_{G}(u) \cap S^{\prime}$, ux can be extended to a defect- $d$ matching of $G-\left(S^{\prime} \cup y-x\right)-S^{\prime \prime}$, which is impossible since such a matching will miss at least $d+2$ vertices.

Let $S=S^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime}$. From the claims above, $G-S$ is the union of $d$ factor-critical odd components and a single edge $u v$.

Finally, we present an example to show that the condition $\max \left\{d_{G}(u), d_{G}(v)\right\} \geqslant 2 k$ in Theorem 4.3 is necessary. Let $G$ be the graph with vertices $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}$ and the edges $x_{1} x_{2}, x_{2} x_{3}, x_{3} x_{4}, x_{4} x_{5}, x_{5} x_{1}, x_{2} x_{4}, x_{3} x_{5}$. Taking $n$ disjoint copies of $G$ and an edge $e=u v$, join the vertices $u$ and $v$ to $x_{3}$ and $x_{4}$ in each copy of $G$. Denote the resulting graph
by $H$. Then $\max \left\{d_{H}(u), d_{H}(v)\right\}=2 n+1<2(n+1)$. One can verify that $H$ is a $(1, n+1, n+1)$-graph and $H-u v$ is not a $(1, n, n+1)$-graph. However, for any vertex subset $S \subseteq V(H)$ with $|S|=2 n+1$ such that $H[S]$ contains an $n$-matching, $H-S$ is not the union of $n+1$ factor-critical odd components and a single edge $u v$.

This article is merely the first of a series of investigations of a general framework to unify the various extendabilities and factor-criticalities. So far we have discussed the characterization of ( $n, k, d$ )-graphs and the recursive relations only. The important aspects of $(n, k, d)$-graphs, such as decomposition procedure, Gallai-type structural theorems and algorithms for finding $(n, k, d)$-graphs, have not been explored yet. More research on this subject will follow.
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